

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/023,344 | 12/17/2001 | Hirokazu Miwa | 0941.66061 | 7994 | |
| 7590 10/28/2004 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Patrick G. Burns, Esq. | | | LAO, LUN YI | | |
| GREER, BURN Suite 2500 | IS & CRAIN, LTD. | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 300 South Wack | ker Dr. | | 2673 DATE MAILED: 10/28/2004 | | |
| Chicago, IL 6 | 0606 | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application | No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|---------------|--|--|--|
| | | 10/023,344 | 10/023,344 MIWA ET AL. | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Lao Y Lun | | 2673 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAL isions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event cation. ays, a reply within the statute ory period will apply and will a to be statute, cause the applic. | , however, may a reply be timery minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI | nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C.§ 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed of | on <u>19 August 2004</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL . 2b) | | n-final. | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-12</u> is/are wi Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrictio | thdrawn from consic | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) 🗌 - | The specification is objected to by the E | xaminer. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 December 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objectio | | | • | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by | | | | * * | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| a)[2 | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for AII b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doce as Copies of the priority doce application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for the certification from the attached detailed Office action for the certification from the attached detailed Office action for the attached detailed Office action at the a | cuments have been cuments have been he priority documen Bureau (PCT Rule | received. received in Application ts have been receiver 17.2(a)). | on No d in this National | Stage | | | |
| Attachment | (s) | | | , | | | | |
| 1) 🛛 Notice | of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4 |) 🔲 Interview Summary (| | | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Inform | of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- lation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO No(s)/Mail Date | 948) D/SB/08) 5 6 | Paper No(s)/Mail Dail) Notice of Informal Pa) Other: | |)-152) | | | |

Art Unit: 2673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bennett et al(5,034,736).

As to claims 1 and 3, Bennett et al teach a liquid crystal display comprising: a display part displaying an image in accordance with image data supplied through data signal lines(C1-C9); and a driving part driving said data signal lines(28, 32) driving each data signal line(C1-C9) by using a plurality of driving devices(D3, D4, 28, 32) together for simultaneously so as to increase the driving capability(see figures 1-2, 6; column 2, lines 16-27; column 3, lines 35-39; column 4, lines 13-35; column 5, lines 16-68; column 6, lines 1-3 and column 10, lines 6-33).

As to claim 3, Bennett et al teach the number of the driving devices used for driving each data signal line is controlled in accordance with a particular type of display part(large size and fast response LCD display)(see figures 2, 6 and column 2, lines 7-27).

Art Unit: 2673

3. Claims 1, 3 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Imamura(6,091,392).

As to claims 1, 3 and 5-6, Imamura teaches a liquid crystal display having a plurality of data signal lines(column lines) and a driving part(5) driving data signal lines(column lines) by supplying a plurality of sets of same image display data to each data line simultaneously so as to increase the driving capability(see figures 1, 3; column 2, lines 26-29 and lines 59-68; and column 3, lines 1-8).

As to claim 3, Imamura teaches the number of the driving devices used for driving each data signal line is controlled in accordance with a particular type of display part(resolution640X400 or color LCD display with narrow electrode pitches)(see figure 1; column 1, lines 34-36 and column 4, lines 59-61).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bennett et al or Imamura in view of Masuda et al(5,801,672).

Bennett et al or Imamura fail to disclose a wiring part provided on a substrate on the display part is formed.

Art Unit: 2673

Masuda et al teach an LCD display comprising a wiring part(301a, 301b) integrated with a display part(see figures 2, 9 and column 1, lines 33-39). It would have been obvious to have modified Bennett et al or Imamura with the teaching of Masuda et al, so as to eliminate cumbersome interconnection between the display panel and the drive circuit section.

6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bennett et al or Imamura in view of Maekawa et al(5,686,936).

Bennett et al or Imamura fail to disclose the plurality of driving devices disposed on the same side of the display signal line.

Maekawa et al teach an LCD display for disposing a plurality of driving devices(2, 5) on the same side of the display signal line(column line)(see figure 1 and column 4, lines 46-50). It would have been obvious to have modified Bennett et al or Imamura with the teaching of Maekawa et al, since Maekawa et al have disclosed the driving devices could be mounted on opposite side or same side and the driving devices mounted on the same side of data lines would be more easy for assembly, repair and replace.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2673

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kinoshita et al(6,246,385) teach signal driving circuits(15-16) simultaneously driving signals lines(Y1to YM)(see figure 49 and column 3, lines 45-50).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lun-yi, Lao whose telephone number is (703) 305-4873.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala, can be reached at (703) 305-4938.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Art Unit: 2673

October 27, 2004

Lun-yi Lao

Primary Examiner